arXiv:math-ph/0206002v1 4 Jun 2002

A basic inequality for submanifolds in a cosymplectic space form

Jeong-Sik Kim and Jaedong Choi*

February 7, 2008

Abstract

For submanifolds tangent to the structure vector field in cosymplectic space forms, we establish a basic inequality between the main intrinsic invariants of the submanifold, namely its sectional curvature and scalar curvature on one side; and its main extrinsic invariant, namely squared mean curvature on the other side. Some applications including inequalities between the intrinsic invariant δ_M and the squared mean curvature are given. The equality cases are also discussed. **2000 AMS Subject Classification:** 53C40, 53D15.

Key words and phrases: cosymplectic space form, invariant submanifold, semi-invariant submanifold, δ_M-invariant, squared mean curvature.

1 Introduction

To find simple relationships between the main extrinsic invariants and the main intrinsic invariants of a submanifold is one of the natural interests in the submanifold theory. Let M be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold. For each point $p \in M$, let $(\inf K)(p) = \inf\{K(\pi) : \text{plane sections } \pi \subset T_pM\}$. Then, the well defined intrinsic invariant δ_M for a M introduced by B.-Y. Chen([4]) is

$$\delta_M(p) = \tau(p) - (\inf K)(p), \tag{1}$$

where τ is the scalar curvature of M (see also [6]).

In [3], Chen established the following basic inequality involving the intrinsic invariant δ_M and the squared mean curvature for n-dimensional submanifolds M in a real space form $R(c)$ of constant sectional curvature c:

$$\delta_M \leq \frac{n^2(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\|H\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n-2)c. \tag{2}$$

*The authors were partially supported by Korea Science and Engineering Foundation Grant (R01-2001-00003).

The above inequality is also true for anti-invariant submanifolds in complex space forms $\widetilde{M}(4c)$ as remarked in [7]. In [5], he proved a general inequality for an arbitrary submanifold of dimension greater than two in a complex space form. Applying this inequality, he showed that (2) is also valid for arbitrary submanifolds in complex hyperbolic space $CH^m(4c)$. He also established the basic inequality for a submanifold in a complex projective space CP^m.

A submanifold normal to the structure vector field ξ of a contact manifold is anti-invariant. Thus C-totally real submanifolds in a Sasakian manifold are anti-invariant, as they are normal to ξ. An inequality similar to (2) for C-totally real submanifolds in a Sasakian space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ of constant φ-sectional curvature c is given in [8]. In [9], for submanifolds in a Sasakian space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ tangential to the structure vector field ξ, a basic inequality along with some applications are presented.

There is another interesting class of almost contact metric manifolds, namely cosymplectic manifolds([10]). In this paper, submanifolds tangent to the structure vector field ξ in cosymplectic space forms are studied. Section 2 contains necessary details about submanifolds and cosymplectic space forms are given for further use. In section 3, for submanifolds tangent to the structure vector field ξ in cosymplectic space forms, we establish a basic inequality between the main intrinsic invariants, namely its sectional curvature function K and its scalar curvature function τ of the submanifold on one side, and its main extrinsic invariant, namely its mean curvature function $\|H\|$ on the other side. In the last section, we give some applications including inequalities between the intrinsic invariant δ_M and the extrinsic invariant $\|H\|$. We also discuss the equality cases.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\tilde{M}$ be a $(2m+1)$-dimensional almost contact manifold([2]) endowed with an almost contact structure (φ, ξ, η), that is, φ is a $(1,1)$ tensor field, ξ is a vector field and η is 1-form such that $\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi$ and $\eta(\xi) = 1$. Then, $\varphi(\xi) = 0$ and $\eta \circ \varphi = 0$.

Let g be a compatible Riemannian metric with (φ, ξ, η), that is, $g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X,Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$ or equivalently, $g(X, \varphi Y) = -g(\varphi X, Y)$ and $g(X, \xi) = \eta(X)$ for all $X, Y \in T\tilde{M}$. Then, $\tilde{M}$ becomes an almost contact metric manifold equipped with an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g). An almost contact metric manifold is *cosymplectic*([2]) if $\tilde{\nabla}_X \varphi = 0$, where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric g. From the formula $\tilde{\nabla}_X \varphi = 0$ it follows that $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = 0$.

A plane section σ in $T_p\tilde{M}$ of an almost contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$ is called a *φ-section* if $\sigma \perp \xi$ and $\varphi(\sigma) = \sigma$. $\tilde{M}$ is of *constant φ-sectional curvature* if the sectional curvature $\tilde{K}(\sigma)$ does not depend on the choice of the φ-section σ of $T_p\tilde{M}$ and the choice of a point $p \in \tilde{M}$. A cosymplectic manifold $\tilde{M}$ is of constant φ-sectional

curvature c if and only if its curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ is of the form([10])

$$\begin{aligned} 4\tilde{R}(X,Y,Z,W) &= c\{g(X,W)g(Y,Z)-g(X,Z)g(Y,W) \\ &\quad +g(X,\varphi W)g(Y,\varphi Z)-g(X,\varphi Z)g(Y,\varphi W) \\ &\quad -2g(X,\varphi Y)g(Z,\varphi W) \\ &\quad -g(X,W)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)+g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\eta(W) \\ &\quad -g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\eta(W)+g(Y,W)\eta(X)\eta(Z)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Let M be an $(n+1)$-dimensional submanifold of a manifold $\tilde{M}$ equipped with a Riemannian metric g. The Gauss and Weingarten formulae are given respectively by $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X,Y)$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla^{\perp}_X N$ for all $X, Y \in TM$ and $N \in T^{\perp}M$, where $\tilde{\nabla}$, ∇ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ are respectively the Riemannian, induced Riemannian and induced normal connections in $\tilde{M}$, M and the normal bundle $T^{\perp}M$ of M respectively, and h is the second fundamental form related to the shape operator A by $g(h(X,Y),N) = g(A_N X, Y)$.

Let $\{e_1, ..., e_{n+1}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space T_pM. The mean curvature vector $H(p)$ at $p \in M$ is

$$H(p) \equiv \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} h(e_i, e_i). \tag{4}$$

The submanifold M is *totally geodesic* in $\tilde{M}$ if $h = 0$, and *minimal* if $H = 0$. We put

$$h^r_{ij} = g(h(e_i, e_j), e_r) \quad \text{and} \quad \|h\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)).$$

3 A basic inequality

Let M be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold. For $X \in TM$, let

$$\varphi X = PX + FX, \qquad PX \in TM,\ FX \in T^{\perp}M.$$

Thus, P is an endomorphism of the tangent bundle of M and satisfies

$$g(X, PY) = -g(PX, Y), \qquad X, Y \in TM.$$

For a plane section $\pi \subset T_pM$ at a point $p \in M$,

$$\alpha(\pi) = g(e_1, Pe_2)^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta(\pi) = (\eta(e_1))^2 + (\eta(e_2))^2$$

are real numbers in the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$, which are independent of the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of π.

We recall the following lemma from([3]).

Lemma 3.1 *If $a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}, a$ are $n+2$ $(n \geq 1)$ real numbers such that*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i\right)^2 = n\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2 + a\right),$$

then $2a_1a_2 \geq a$, with equality holding if and only if $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_{n+1}$.

Now, we prove the following

Theorem 3.2 *Let M be an $(n+1)$-dimensional $(n \geq 2)$ submanifold isometrically immersed in a $(2m+1)$-dimensional cosymplectic space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ such that the structure vector field ξ is tangential to M. Then, for each point $p \in M$ and each plane section $\pi \subset T_pM$, we have*

$$\tau - K(\pi) \leq \frac{(n+1)^2(n-1)}{2n}\|H\|^2 + \frac{c}{8}\left(3\|P\|^2 - 6\alpha(\pi) + 2\beta(\pi) + (n+1)(n-2)\right). \tag{5}$$

The equality in (5) holds at $p \in M$ if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{n+1}\}$ of T_pM and an orthonormal basis $\{e_{n+2}, \ldots, e_{2m+1}\}$ of $T_p^{\perp}M$ such that **(a)** *$\pi = Span\{e_1, e_2\}$ and* **(b)** *the forms of shape operators $A_r \equiv A_{e_r}$, $r = n+2, \ldots, 2m+1$, become*

$$A_{n+2} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (\lambda+\mu)I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

$$A_r = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11}^r & h_{12}^r & 0 \\ h_{12}^r & -h_{11}^r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad r = n+3, \ldots, 2m+1. \tag{7}$$

Proof. In view of the Gauss equation and (3), the scalar curvature and the mean curvature of M are related by

$$2\tau = \frac{c}{4}\left(3\|P\|^2 + n(n-1)\right) + (n+1)^2\|H\|^2 - \|h\|^2, \tag{8}$$

where $\|P\|^2$ is given by

$$\|P\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} g(e_i, Pe_j)^2$$

for any local orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n+1}\}$ for T_pM. We introduce

$$\rho = 2\tau - \frac{(n+1)^2(n-1)}{n}\|H\|^2 - \frac{c}{4}\left(3\|P\|^2 + n(n-1)\right). \tag{9}$$

From (8) and (9), we get

$$(n+1)^2\|H\|^2 = n(\|h\|^2 + \rho). \tag{10}$$

Let p be a point of M and let $\pi \subset T_pM$ be a plane section at p. We choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{n+1}\}$ for T_pM and $\{e_{n+2}, \ldots, e_{2m+1}\}$ for the normal space $T_p^{\perp}M$ at p such that $\pi = Span\{e_1, e_2\}$ and the mean curvature vector $H(p)$ is parallel to e_{n+2}, then from the equation (10) we get

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} h_{ii}^{n+2}\right)^2 = n\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(h_{ii}^{n+2}\right)^2 + \sum_{i\neq j}\left(h_{ij}^{n+2}\right)^2 + \sum_{r=n+3}^{2m+1}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1}\left(h_{ij}^{r}\right)^2 + \rho\right). \tag{11}$$

Using Lemma 3.1, from (11) we obtain

$$h_{11}^{n+2}h_{22}^{n+2} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\{\sum_{i\neq j}\left(h_{ij}^{n+2}\right)^2 + \sum_{r=n+3}^{2m+1}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1}\left(h_{ij}^{r}\right)^2 + \rho\right\}. \tag{12}$$

From the Gauss equation and (3), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} K(\pi) &= \frac{c}{4}(1 + 3\alpha(\pi) - \beta(\pi)) \\ &\quad + h_{11}^{n+2}h_{22}^{n+2} - \left(h_{12}^{n+2}\right)^2 + \sum_{r=n+3}^{2m+1}\left(h_{11}^{r}h_{22}^{r} - \left(h_{12}^{r}\right)^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(\pi) &\geq \frac{c}{4}(1 + 3\alpha(\pi) - \beta(\pi)) + \frac{1}{2}\rho \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1}\sum_{j>2}\{(h_{1j}^{r})^2 + (h_{2j}^{r})^2\} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j>2}(h_{ij}^{n+2})^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=n+3}^{2m+1}\sum_{i,j>2}(h_{ij}^{r})^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=n+3}^{2m+1}(h_{11}^{r} + h_{22}^{r})^2, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

or

$$K(\pi) \geq \frac{c}{4}(1 + 3\alpha(\pi) - \beta(\pi)) + \frac{1}{2}\rho, \tag{15}$$

which in view of (9) yields (5).

If the equality in (5) holds, then the inequalities given by (12) and (14) become equalities. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1j}^{n+2} &= 0,\ h_{2j}^{n+2} = 0,\ h_{ij}^{n+2} = 0, \quad i \neq j > 2; \\ h_{1j}^{r} &= h_{2j}^{r} = h_{ij}^{r} = 0,\ r = n+3, \ldots, 2m+1; \quad i, j = 3, \ldots, n+1; \\ h_{11}^{n+3} + h_{22}^{n+3} &= \cdots = h_{11}^{2m+1} + h_{22}^{2m+1} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Furthermore, we may choose e_1 and e_2 so that $h_{12}^{n+2} = 0$. Moreover, by applying Lemma 3.1, we also have

$$h_{11}^{n+2} + h_{22}^{n+2} = h_{33}^{n+2} = \cdots = h_{n+1\ n+1}^{n+2}. \tag{17}$$

Thus, choosing a suitable orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2m+1}\}$, the shape operator of M becomes of the form given by (6) and (7). The converse is straightforward.

4 Some applications

For the case $c = 0$, from (5) we have the following pinching result.

Proposition 4.1 *Let M be an $(n+1)$-dimensional $(n > 1)$ submanifold isometrically immersed in a $(2m+1)$-dimensional cosymplectic space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ with $c = 0$ such that $\xi \in TM$. Then, we have the following*

$$\delta_M \leq \frac{(n+1)^2 (n-1)}{2n} \|H\|^2 .$$

A submanifold M of an almost contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$ with $\xi \in TM$ is called a *semi-invariant submanifold*([1]) of $\tilde{M}$ if $TM = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\perp} \oplus \{\xi\}$, where $\mathcal{D} = TM \cap \varphi(TM)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\perp} = TM \cap \varphi(T^{\perp}M)$. In fact, the condition $TM = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\perp} \oplus \{\xi\}$ implies that the endomorphism P is an *f-structure* ([12]) on M with $rank(P) = \dim(\mathcal{D})$. A semi-invariant submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold becomes an *invariant* or *anti-invariant submanifold* according as the anti-invariant distribution $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ is $\{0\}$ or invariant distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is $\{0\}$([1, 12]).

Now, we establish two inequalities in the following two theorem, which are analogous to that of (2).

Theorem 4.2 *Let M be an $(n+1)$-dimensional $(n > 1)$ submanifold isometrically immersed in a $(2m+1)$-dimensional cosymplectic space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ such that the structure vector field ξ is tangential to M. If $c < 0$, then*

$$\delta_M \leq \frac{(n+1)^2 (n-1)}{2n} \|H\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n-2)\frac{c}{4}. \tag{18}$$

The equality in (18) holds if and only if M is a semi-invariant submanifold with $rank(P) = 2$ and $\beta(\pi) = 0$.

Proof. Since $c < 0$, in order to estimate δ_M, we minimize $3\|P\|^2 - 6\alpha(\pi) + 2\beta(\pi)$ in (5). For an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{n+1}\}$ of T_pM with $\pi = span\{e_1, e_2\}$, we write

$$\|P\|^2 - 2\alpha(\pi) = \sum_{i,j=3}^{n+1} g(e_i, \varphi e_j)^2 + 2\sum_{j=3}^{n+1} \left\{ g(e_1, \varphi e_j)^2 + g(e_2, \varphi e_j)^2 \right\}.$$

Thus, we see that the minimum value of $3\|P\|^2 - 6\alpha(\pi) + 2\beta(\pi)$ is zero, provided $\pi = span\{e_1, e_2\}$ is orthogonal to ξ and $span\{\varphi e_j \mid j = 3, \cdots, n\}$ is orthogonal to the tangent space T_pM. Thus, we have (18) with equality case holding if and only if M is semi-invariant such that $rank(P) = 2$ with $\beta = 0$.

Theorem 4.3 *Let M be an $(n+1)$-dimensional $(n>1)$ submanifold isometrically immersed in a $(2m+1)$-dimensional cosymplectic space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ such that $\xi \in TM$. If $c>0$, then*

$$\delta_M \leq \frac{(n+1)^2(n-1)}{2n}\|H\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}n(n+2)\frac{c}{4}. \tag{19}$$

The equality in (19) *holds if and only if M is an invariant submanifold and $\beta = 1$.*

Proof. Since $c>0$, in order to estimate δ_M, we maximize $3\|P\|^2 - 6\alpha(\pi) + 2\beta(\pi)$ in (5). We observe that the maximum of $3\|P\|^2 - 6\alpha(\pi) + 2\beta(\pi)$ is attained for $\|P\|^2 = n$, $\alpha(\pi) = 0$ and $\beta(\pi) = 1$, that is, M is invariant and $\xi \in \pi$. Thus, we obtain (19) with equality case if and only if M is invariant with $\beta = 1$.

In last, we prove the following

Theorem 4.4 *If M is an $(n+1)$-dimensional $(n>1)$ submanifold isometrically immersed in a $(2m+1)$-dimensional cosymplectic space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ such that $c>0$, $\xi \in TM$ and*

$$\delta_M = \frac{(n+1)^2(n-1)}{2n}\|H\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}n(n+2)\frac{c}{4},$$

then M is a totally geodesic cosymplectic space form $M(c)$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 4.3, M is an odd-dimensional invariant submanifold of the cosymplectic space form $\tilde{M}(c)$. For every point $p \in M$, we can choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1 = \xi, e_2, \cdots, e_{n+1}\}$ for T_pM and $\{e_{n+2}, \cdots, e_{2m+1}\}$ for $T_p^{\perp}M$ such that A_r $(r = n+2, \ldots, 2m+1)$ take the form (6) and (7). Since M is an invariant submanifold of a cosymplectic manifold, therefore it is minimal and $A_r\varphi + \varphi A_r = 0$, $r = n+2, \ldots, 2m+1$([11]). Thus all the shape operators take the form

$$A_r = \begin{pmatrix} c_r & d_r & 0 \\ d_r & -c_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad r = n+2, \ldots, 2m+1. \tag{20}$$

Since, $A_r\varphi e_1 = 0$, $r = n+2, \cdots, 2m+1$, from $A_r\varphi + \varphi A_r = 0$, we get $\varphi A_r e_1 = 0$. Applying φ to this equation, we obtain $A_r e_1 = \eta(A_r e_1)\xi = \eta(A_r e_1)e_1$; and thus $d_r = 0$, $r = n+2, \ldots, 2m+1$. This implies that $A_r e_2 = -c_r e_2$. Applying φ to the both sides, in view of $A_r\varphi + \varphi A_r = 0$, we get $A_r\varphi e_2 = c_r\varphi e_2$. Since φe_2 is orthogonal to ξ and e_2 and φ has maximal rank, the principal curvature c_r is zero. Hence, M becomes totally geodesic. As in Proposition 1.3 on page 313 of [12], it is easy to show that M is a cosymplectic manifold of constant φ-sectional curvature c.

Acknowledgment : This work was supported by Korea Science & Engineering Foundation Grant (R01-2001-00003).

References

[1] A. Bejancu, **Geometry of CR-submanifolds**, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series), 23. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1986. **MR**87k:53126.

[2] D.E. Blair, **Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry**, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **509**, Springer Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. **MR**57#7444.

[3] B.-Y. Chen, *Some pinching and classification theorems for minimal submanifolds*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **60**(1993), no. 6, 568-578. **MR**94d:53093.

[4] B.-Y. Chen, *A Riemannian invariant for submanifolds in space forms and its applications*. Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, VI(Leuven, 1993/Brussels, 1993), 58-81, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1994. **MR**96c:53081.

[5] B.-Y. Chen, *A general inequality for submanifolds in complex space forms and its applications*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **67**(1996), no. 6, 519-528. **MR**97i:53061.

[6] B.-Y. Chen, *Riemannian submanifolds*, in Handbook of Differential Geometry, eds. F. Dillen and L. Verstraelen, North Holland, Amsterdam, 2000, 187-418. **MR**2000h:53003.

[7] B.-Y. Chen, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, *An exotic totally real minimal immersion of S^3 in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ and its characterization*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **126**(1996), 153-165. **MR**97e:53111.

[8] F. Defever, I. Mihai and L. Verstraelen, *B.-Y. Chen's inequalities for C-totally real submanifolds of Sasakian space forms*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B(7) **11**(1997), no. 2, 365-374. **MR**98e:53088.

[9] Y. H. Kim and D.-S. Kim, *A basic inequality for submanifolds in Sasakian space forms*, Houston J. Math. **25**(1999), no. 2, 247-157. **MR**2000d:53089.

[10] G. D. Ludden, *Submanifolds of cosymplectic manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **4(**1970), 237–244. **MR**42 #6764.

[11] M. M. Tripathi, *Almost semi-invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **62**(1996), no. 1-4, 220-245. **MR**99a:53029.

[12] K. Yano and M. Kon, **Structures on manifolds**, Series in Pure Mathematics, 3. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1984. **MR**86g:53001.

Jeong-Sik Kim
Department of Mathematics Education
Sunchon National University, Sunchon 540-742, Korea

email: jskim01@hanmir.com

Jaedong Choi
Department of Mathematics
P. O. Box 335-2 Airforce Academy
Ssangsu, Namil, Chungwon, Chungbuk, 363-849, Korea
e-mail : jdong@afa.ac.kr